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Abstract—How can a humanoid robot autonomously learn and refine multiple sensorimotor skills as a byproduct of curiosity driven exploration, upon its high-dimensional unprocessed visual input? We present SKILLABILITY, which makes this possible. It combines the recently introduced Curiosity Driven Modular Incremental Slow Feature Analysis (Curious Dr. MISFA) with the well-known options framework. Curious Dr. MISFA’s objective is to acquire abstractions as quickly as possible. These abstractions map high-dimensional pixel-level vision to a low-dimensional manifold. We find that each learnable abstraction augments the robot’s state space (a set of poses) with new information about the environment, for example, when the robot is grasping a cup. The abstraction is a function on an image, called a slow feature, which can effectively discretize a high-dimensional visual sequence. For example, it maps the sequence of the robot watching its arm as it moves around, grasping randomly, then grasping a cup, and moving around some more while holding the cup, into a step function having two outputs: when the cup is or is not currently grasped. The new state space includes this grasped/not grasped information. Each abstraction is coupled with an option. The reward function for the option’s policy (learned through Least Squares Policy Iteration) is high for transitions that produce a large change in the step-function-like slow feature outputs. This corresponds to finding bottleneck states, which are known good subgoals for hierarchical reinforcement learning - in the example, the subgoal corresponds to grasping the cup. The final skill includes both the learned policy and the learned abstraction. SKILLABILITY makes our iCub the first humanoid robot to learn complex skills such as to topple or grasp an object, from raw high-dimensional video input, driven purely by its intrinsic motivations.

I. INTRODUCTION

In reinforcement learning (RL; [1, 2]), an important problem is to learn distinct skills without any external guidance [3]. Skills can act as building blocks for learning more complex skills [4], in a hierarchical learning system. The options framework [5] formalizes skills as RL policies, active within a subset of the state space, which can terminate at subgoals, after which another option takes over. When the agent has high-dimensional input, like vision, a skill will require a dimensionality reducing mapping called a feature abstraction (or simply an abstraction), so that policy learning becomes tractable [6]. There have been attempts to find skills with abstractions in domains such as those of humanoid robotics [6, 7, 8, 9]. These rely, however, either on demonstrations and explicit task-descriptions from humans, or a readily available state-description and externally defined goals for guidance.

We present here SKILLABILITY, which combines the recently introduced Curiosity Driven Modular Incremental Slow Feature Analysis (Curious Dr. MISFA; [10, 11]), in which an agent explores its environment and learns slow-feature-based abstractions, with the options framework, used to build the abstractions into skills. Curious Dr. MISFA comprises Incremental Slow Feature Analysis (IncSFA; [12, 13]), and Artificial Curiosity (AC; [14, 15]), IncSFA is used for learning slow features incrementally from high-dimensional sensory input. Slow features [16] can encode underlying causes of the rapidly changing raw sensory inputs. They are based on the slowness principle [17, 18, 19], which posits that these underlying causes of a signal can be extracted via a temporal consistency objective. For example, gray-scale pixel values of a video typically change quickly compared to more abstract latent variables, such as the position of a moving objects. Slow feature outputs over a visual sequence in which an event occurs can take the form of a step function, encoding the two possible states of the event. With such a feature, potentially useful information about the states of objects in the environment can be encoded.

AC is used for for directing the agent’s actions. The theory of AC introduces a mathematical formalism for describing curiosity and creativity, wherein agents are interested in the learnable but as yet unknown aspects of their environment, but are disinterested in the predictable or inherently unlearnable aspects. More specifically, a creative agent needs two learning components: an adaptive encoder of the growing history of perceptions and actions and a reinforcement learner. The learning progress of the encoder, which in our case is IncSFA, becomes an intrinsic reward for the reinforcement learner [14]. Curious Dr. MISFA learns multiple slow feature abstractions directly on the raw visual input, in order from lowest to highest learning difficulty, which is predicted by the theory of AC.

The novel contribution of this work is to show how skills can be built upon the slow-feature-based abstractions. In SKILLABILITY, each feature learned by Curious Dr. MISFA augments the robot’s default state space, which in our case is a set of poses learned using Task Relevant Roadmaps [20]. This augmented state space is further clustered to create new, distinct states. A Markovian transition model is learned by exploring the new state space. The reward function is also learned through exploration, with the agent being intrinsically rewarded for making state-transitions that produce a large change in the step-function-like slow feature outputs. This specialized reward function is used to build the skill policies, to drive the agent to states where such transitions
will occur. These states resemble bottleneck states, i.e., “doorways”, which are known to be good subgoals in the absence of externally imposed goals [21, 22]. Once the transition and reward functions are learned, the skill’s policy is learned via Least-Squares Policy Iteration (LSPI; [23]). Experimental results conducted using the iCub robot illustrate how SKILLABILITY leads to the learning of two skills, of cup toppling and cup grasping.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. Section II presents an overview of the Curious Dr. MISFA. Section III presents our approach to do skill learning using Curious Dr. MISFA. Section IV contains results of experiments on our iCub; Section V concludes the paper.

II. CURIOUS DR. MISFA

In this section, we briefly review Curiosity Driven Modular Incremental Slow Feature Analysis.

From a set of high-dimensional input streams $X = \{x_1, ..., x_n\} : x_i(t) \in \mathbb{R}^I$, Curious Dr. MISFA learns abstractions $\Phi_t = \{\phi_1, ..., \phi_m; m \leq n\}$ in order of learning difficulty, where $t$ denotes time. Each abstraction $\phi_i$ takes the form of a set of orthogonal slow features, is unique, and maps one or more input streams $x \in X$ to low-dimensional output $y(t) \in \mathbb{R}^J, J \ll I$, where $y(t) = \phi_i(x(t))$. Since the learning difficulty of the input streams are not known

\[ \phi_i(\hat{x}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\sum_{j=1}^{I} \hat{x}_j^2}} \hat{x}_i \]

\[ \hat{x}_i = \text{argmin}_{\hat{x}_i} \sum_{j=1}^{I} (x(t) - \phi_i(x(t)))^2 \]

\[ \text{Reward} = 0 \]

\[ \text{Reward} = -\xi \]

\[ \text{Agent} \]

\[ \text{Gating System} \]

\[ \text{Adaptive Module} \]
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\[ \text{Noise} \]
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\[ \text{Internal Action} \]

\[ \text{Fig. 2. The architecture of Curious Dr. MISFA includes (a) an RL agent that learns an input stream selection policy from intrinsic rewards, (b) an adaptive IncSFA-ROC module that updates an abstraction based on the incoming input observations, and (c) a gating system that prevents learning duplicate abstractions.} \]

\[ \text{a priori}, \text{the learning process involves estimating not just the abstractions, but also identifying the current easiest-to-learn input stream, which is not redundant with already learned abstractions. To this end, Curious Dr. MISFA uses Reinforcement Learning to learn an optimal input stream selection policy } \pi. \]

\[ \text{The agent receives intrinsic rewards for transitions proportional to the corresponding learning progress made by IncSFA-ROC algorithm. These intrinsic rewards contribute to estimating a reward function, which is used to compute the} \]

\[ \text{Algorithm 1. IncSFA-ROC Abstraction-Selector} \]

\[ \text{1. Initialize } \pi(\cdot), \Phi_0, \phi_0 \]

\[ \text{2. For each } t \text{ do} \]

\[ \text{a) Select } \pi(\cdot) \text{ using } \pi(\cdot) \]

\[ \text{b) Estimate } \phi_i \text{ using } \phi_i \]

\[ \text{c) Update } \Phi_t \text{ using } \Phi_t \]

\[ \text{3. Return } \pi(\cdot) \text{ and } \Phi_t \]

\[ \text{Algorithm 2. IncSFA-ROC Abstraction-Refiner} \]

\[ \text{1. Initialize } \pi(\cdot), \Phi_0, \phi_0 \]

\[ \text{2. For each } t \text{ do} \]

\[ \text{a) Update } \phi_i \text{ using } \phi_i \]

\[ \text{b) Update } \Phi_t \text{ using } \Phi_t \]

\[ \text{c) Return } \pi(\cdot) \text{ and } \Phi_t \]

\[ \text{The agent receives intrinsic rewards for transitions proportional to the corresponding learning progress made by IncSFA-ROC algorithm. These intrinsic rewards contribute to estimating a reward function, which is used to compute the} \]
input stream selection policy \( \pi \). This policy is used to select the input stream for the next iteration, yielding new samples \( x \). These new samples, if not encodable by previously learned abstractions, are used to update the adaptive abstraction. The updated abstraction \( \hat{\phi} \) is added to the abstraction set \( \Phi_t \), when the IncSFA-ROC’s estimation error falls below a low threshold \( \delta \). When an abstraction is added, a new adaptive abstraction \( \hat{\phi} \) is instantiated and the process continues.

The following sections discuss more details on different parts of Curious Dr. MISFA algorithm.

A. Abstraction-Estimator: IncSFA-ROC

Curious Dr. MISFA’s abstraction-estimator is the Incremental Slow Feature Analysis coupled with a Robust Online Clustering algorithm. IncSFA is used to learn real-valued abstractions of the input, while ROC is used to learn a discrete mapping from the current abstraction activations to the agent’s subjective state-space \( S^I \), which contains discrete (clustered) values of all previously encoded abstractions.

IncSFA: Slow feature analysis [16] is an unsupervised learning technique that extracts features from an input stream with the objective of maintaining a slowly-changing feature response over time. SFA is concerned with the following optimization problem:

Given an \( I \)-dimensional input signal \( x(t) = [x_1(t), ..., x_I(t)]^T \), find a set of \( J \) instantaneous real-valued functions \( g(x) = [g_1(x), ..., g_J(x)]^T \), which together generate a \( J \)-dimensional output signal \( y(t) = [y_1(t), ..., y_J(t)]^T \) with \( y_j(t) := g_j(x(t)) \), such that for each \( j \in \{1, ..., J\} \)

\[
\Delta_j := \Delta(y_j) := \langle y_j^2 \rangle \quad \text{is minimal} \tag{1}
\]

under the constraints

\[
\langle y_j \rangle = 0 \quad \text{(zero mean)} \tag{2}
\]
\[
\langle y_j^2 \rangle = 1 \quad \text{(unit variance)} \tag{3}
\]
\[
\forall i < j : \langle y_i y_j \rangle = 0 \quad \text{(decorrelation and order)} \tag{4}
\]

with \( \langle \cdot \rangle \) and \( \hat{y} \) indicating temporal averaging and the derivative of \( y \), respectively.

The goal is to find instantaneous functions \( g_j \) generating different output signals that are as slowly varying as possible. The decorrelation constraint (4) ensures that different functions \( g_j \) do not code for the same features. The other constraints (2) and (3) avoid trivial constant output solutions.

SFA operates on the covariance of observation derivatives, so it scales with the size of the observation vector instead of the number of states. SFA is originally realized as a batch method, requiring all data to be collected before processing. The algorithmic complexity is cubic in the input dimension \( I \). In contrast, IncSFA has a linear update complexity [13], and can adapt the features to new observations, achieving the slow-feature objective robustly in open-ended learning environments.

ROC maintains estimates (via nearest neighbor) of slow-feature outputs. These clusters act as discrete subjective states, in space \( S^I \). In learning, ROC is similar to an incremental K-means algorithm — a set of cluster centers is maintained, and with each new input, the most similar cluster center (the winner) is adapted to become more like the input. Unlike k-means, with each input it follows the adaptation step by merging the two most similar cluster centers, and creating a new cluster center at the latest input. In this way, ROC can quickly adjust to non-stationary input distributions by directly adding a new cluster for the newest input sample, which may mark the beginning of a new input process.

B. Estimation Error and Curiosity Reward

Each ROC-Estimator node \( j \) has an associated error \( \xi^j \). These errors are initialized to 0 and then updated whenever the node is activated by:

\[
\xi^j(t) = \min_w ||y(t) - v_w||, \quad \text{where} \ y(t) \ \text{is the slow-feature output vector,} \ v_w \ \text{is the estimate of the } w^{th} \text{ cluster of the activated node and } ||.|| \ \text{represents } L^2 \text{ norm. The total estimation error is calculated as the sum of stored errors of the nodes: } \xi(t) = \sum_{j=1}^{J} \xi^j(t).
\]

The agent receives rewards proportional to the derivative of the total estimation error, which motivates it to continue towards yielding a learnable abstraction. The agent’s reward function is computed at every iteration from the curiosity rewards (\( \xi \)) as follows:

\[
R^I(s^I, s^I_s, a) := (1 - \eta) R^I(s^I, s^I_s, a) + \eta \sum_{t=0}^{t_{+\tau}} -\xi(t)
\]

where \( 0 < \eta < 1 \) is a discount factor, \( \tau \) is the duration of the current option until its termination, \( (s^I, s^I_s) \in S^I \) and \( a \in \{\text{stay, switch}\} \).

C. Input Stream Selection Policy

The transition-probability model \( P^I \) of the internal environment is similar to a complete graph and is given by:

\[
(P^I_{i,j, \text{stay}}, P^I_{i,j, \text{switch}}) = \begin{cases} (1, 0), & \text{if } i = j \\ (0, \frac{1}{N-1}), & \text{if } i \neq j \end{cases} \tag{5}
\]

\(i, j \in [1, ..., N]\). Using the current updated model of the reward function \( R^I \) and the internal state transition-probability model \( P^I \), we use model-based Least Squares Policy Iteration [23] to generate the agent’s internal-policy (\( \pi : S^I \times \{\text{stay, switch}\} \rightarrow [0, 1] \)) for the next iteration. The agent uses a decaying \( \epsilon \)-greedy strategy over the internal policy to carry out an internal-action (stay or switch) for the next iteration.

D. Module Freezing and New Module Creation

Once the adaptive (training) module’s \( \hat{\phi} \) estimation error gets lower than a threshold \( \delta \), the agent freezes and saves the IncSFA-ROC module, resets the \( \epsilon \)-greedy value and starts training a new module.

E. Gating System and Abstraction Assignment

The already trained (frozen) modules represent our learned library of abstractions \( \Phi_t \). If a trained module’s estimation error within an option is below the threshold \( \delta \), that option is assigned with that module’s abstraction and the adaptive
III. SKILL LEARNING USING SKILLABILITY

SKILLABILITY combines the options framework with Curious Dr. MISFA to autonomously learn skills from high-dimensional video data, in the absence of any external guidance. Curious Dr. MISFA provides slow-feature-based abstractions, and the corresponding abstraction outputs are discretized and used to construct the agent’s subjective state space, for each abstraction set. Options are learned in the subjective spaces, with a policy that maximizes the variation in the slow feature output.

Recall that \( S^\dagger \) denotes the agent’s discrete subjective state space that contains previously encoded abstractions of the high-dimensional observations along with the predefined states. The agent additionally has a set of \( n \) options that are pre-defined exploratory behaviors over different parts of the agent’s subjective state space, called the exploratory option set \( O^e = \{ O^e_1, ..., O^e_n \} \). More formally, an exploratory option is a tuple \( (I^e_i, \beta^e_i, \pi^e_i) \), where \( I^e_i \subseteq S^\dagger \) is the initiation set comprising states where the option is available, \( \beta^e_i : S^\dagger \rightarrow [0, 1] \) is the option termination condition, which will determine where the option terminates (e.g., some probability in each state), and \( \pi^e_i : I^e_i \times A \rightarrow [0, 1] \) is a pre-defined option’s exploration policy, such as a random walk within the applicable state space. Executing an option implies that the agent follows the option’s policy until its termination condition is met.

As shown in Figure 3, each exploratory option \( O^e_i \) when executed, generates a high-dimensional observation stream \( x_i(t) = \mathcal{U}(P(s, \pi^e_i(s^t))) \), where \( s^t \in I^e_i \) is the agent’s current subjective state while executing \( O^e_i \) at time \( t \), and \( s \) is the corresponding environment state \( s \in S^\dagger \).

We have not yet discussed where the input streams might come from. In this case, they come from executing each of these exploration policies. Let \( X = \{ x_1, ..., x_n \} \) denote the set of \( n \) different \( I \)-dimensional input observation streams generated by the \( n \) option’s exploration policies. Curious Dr. MISFA algorithm will learn abstractions sequentially from the input streams generated upon executing the selected options. Therefore, the internal states as discussed in Sec.
abstractions are learned in order of learning difficulty, from the easiest to the most difficult one. When an abstraction is learned, a corresponding target option is learned. This learning process results in learning skills in order of learning difficulty from high-dimensional input streams and avoiding uncompressible noisy streams, therefore increasing the number of skills acquired by the agent over time.

IV. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

We present here experimental results using an iCub humanoid. More studies on the types of representations learned by the IncSF A algorithm and curiosity-based abstrac-
tion learning with Curious Dr. MISFA can be found elsewhere [10, 11, 13, 26]. The results here show how the iCub translates the abstractions learned using SKILLABILITY to learn skills of toppling a cup and grasping a cup.

Environment: We pre-selected a safe environment for the iCub to explore, yet the iCub is mostly unaware of the environment properties. The iCub is placed next to a table, with the cup in reach of its right arm and within its field-of-view (Figure 6(a)). The cup topples over upon contact, and the cup often ends up in one of a few locations afterwards, so the resulting images after toppling are fairly predictable. There is a human interactor present, who monitors the robot’s safety and replaces the cup in its original position after it is toppled. The iCub is not given the structure of the environment, therefore it does not “know” that the plastic-cup and the interactor exist. It continually observes the gray-scale pixel values from the high-dimensional images ($75 \times 100$) captured by the left and right camera eyes (Figure 6(b)). In addition to the interactor and the cup, it also cannot recognize its own moving hand in the incoming image stream, as shown in the Figure 6(b).

Task-Relevant Roadmap We do not induce exploration at the level of joint angles, due to the complexity of the robot’s joint space. Instead we give the robot a map of poses to move between. This compressed actuator joint-space representation is called a Task-Relevant Roadmap (TRM; [20]). This map contains a family of iCub postures that adhere to relevant constraints. The TRM is grown offline by repeatedly optimizing cost-functions that represent the constraints, using a Natural Evolution Strategies (NES; [27]) algorithm, such that the task-space is covered. This allows us to deal with complex cost-functions and the full 41 degrees-
of-freedom of the iCub’s upper body. The constraints used:

(a) the iCub’s hand is positioned on a plane parallel to the table while keeping its palm oriented horizontally, (b) the left hand is kept within a certain region to keep it out of the way, and (c) the head is pointed towards the table. The task-space of the TRM comprises the $x$- and $y$-position of the hand, which forms the initial discretized $10 \times 5$ subjective space $S^1$. The action space contains 6 actions: move North, East, South, West, Hand-close and Hand-open.

Because the full body is used, the movements look more dynamic, but as a consequence, the head moves around and looks at the table from different directions, making the task more difficult. Even so, IncSF A still finds the resulting regularities in the raw camera input stream, and the skill learner continues to learn upon these regularities, without any external rewards.

Experiment parameters: We used a fixed parameter setting for the entire experiment.

IncSF A Algorithm: CCIPCA used learning rate $1/t$ with amnesic parameter 0.4, while the MCA learning rate was 0.01. CCIPCA did variable size dimension reduction by calculating how many eigenvalues would be needed to keep $99\%$ of the input variance — typically this was between 5-10 — so the 7500 pixels could be effectively reduced to
only about 10 dimensions. The output dimension was set to 1, therefore, we use only the first IncSFA feature as an abstraction.

**ROC Clustering Algorithm**: Each clustering implementation had its maximum number of clusters set to \(N_{max} = 3\). The estimation error threshold, below which the current module is saved and a new module is created, was set to \(\delta = 0.3\). The amnesic parameter was set to \(\beta^{amn} = 0.01\).

**Internal Reinforcement Learner (LSPI)**: The initial \(\epsilon\)-greedy value was 1.0, with a 0.995 decay multiplier. The window-averaging time constant was set to \(\tau = 20\), that is, 20 sample images were used to compute the window-averaged progress error \(\xi\) and the corresponding curiosity-reward.

**Skill-Learning Reinforcement Learner (LSPI)**: Each feature-abstraction output values were quantized to either \((-1, 1)\), therefore into two \(|S^{\phi_i}| = 2\) feature-states.

**A. Experiment 1: iCub Learns to Topple a Cup**

First, the iCub learns a skill to topple the cup. The iCub’s subjective space \((S^I)\) at \(t = 0\) is a \(10 \times 5\) grid found using TRM. The plastic-cup placed is roughly placed around \((2, 2)\) grid-point on the table. The input exploratory-option set \((O^e)\) has only one exploratory option: \(O^e = \{O^e_1\}\). The exploratory option terminates after \(\tau = 20\) time-steps after it begins execution. The internal state-space at \(t = 0\) is \(S^I = \{s^I_1\}\), where \(s^I_1\) corresponds to the exploratory option \(O^e_1\). The exploratory-option’s policy \(\pi^e_1\) is a random-walk over the subjective-state space \(S^I\). It explores by taking one of the six actions (North, East, South, West, Hand-close and Hand-open) and gets high-dimensional images from its camera-eyes. The exploration causes the outstretched hand to eventually displace and topple the plastic-cup placed on the table. It continues to explore and after an arbitrary amount of time-steps the interactor replaces the cup to its original position. After every \(\tau\) time-steps, currently executing option terminates. Since, there is only one exploratory option the iCub re-executes the same option. Figure 7(a) shows a sample input image-stream of only the left camera.

We, however, used both the left and right camera images as an input observation by concatenating them together.
Fig. 8. **Experiment 2: Grasp Skill.** (a) Sample iCub’s left-eye camera images corresponding to the input exploratory option $x_2$ that corresponds to the biased-init & explore exploratory option. (b) ROC estimation error of the adaptive-module that is encoding the new regularities. (c) IncSFA output over execution-time. (d) Resultant ROC cluster centers. (e)-(j) Estimated reward function for learning the target option. (k) Learned target-option’s policy representing the grasp-skill. The circular arrow represents the hand-close action.

reward model of $O^c_L$ are learned, followed by a corresponding target-option’s policy $\pi^c_L$. Figure 7(f) shows the learned policy $\pi^c_L$ (which makes the iCub to move its hand west to topple the cup) and an averaged state reward function (averaged over its 6 actions). Figure 7(g) shows the policy and the averaged reward function after the cup has been toppled. The policy makes the iCub to move its hand towards east. This is because, during the experiment the interactor happened to replace the cup only when the iCub’s hand is around far east. Therefore, the learned target option $O^c_L$, for the given environment, represents a “Topple” skill.

**B. Experiment 2: iCub Learns to Grasp a Cup**

Here, we present results of another experiment where the iCub learns a skill to grasp the cup. We add another exploratory-option policy, which is biased to explore close to the cup. This improves the probability for a successful grasp while exploring (Figure 8(a) Top). The experiment would still work without this biased exploration, but would take much longer.

To avoid re-coding the topple event in the case of an unsuccessful grasp (Figure 8(a) Bottom), the previously learned topple-abstraction is stored in the learned abstraction-set $\Phi_t = \{\phi_1\}$ at $t = 0$. Therefore, whenever the cup gets toppled, the gating system prevents the adaptive abstraction to train on those samples (see II-E). The adaptive-abstraction $\phi$ now encodes only the successful grasp events.

Figure 8(b) shows the ROC estimation error plot. When the estimation error drops below the threshold $\delta = 0.3$, it saves the module $\phi_2 = \phi$ and adds it to the abstraction-set $\Phi_t = \{\phi_1, \phi_2\}$. Figure 8(c) shows the IncSFA output over the samples received. The result is a step-like function indicating a grasp event. Figure 8(d) shows the cluster centers and the transitions. The iCub then begins to learn the target-policy $\pi^c_R$ by learning the target-option’s transition and reward model. Figure 8(e)-(j) show the target-option’s state-action reward model developed after 8000 observation samples ($t=8000$). And finally, Figure 8(k) shows the corresponding skill learned, *i.e.*, to perform a Hand-Close at $(2, 2)$ (the counterclockwise circular arrow represents the Hand-Close action). This experiment demonstrated how the iCub learns an abstraction to represent whether the cup has been successfully grasped-or-not, and to learn a subsequent “grasp” skill.

**V. CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE WORK**

We have presented SKILLABILITY, which combines the Curious Dr. MISFA algorithm, for learning slow-feature-based abstractions, with the options framework, and a special reward function to maximize the expected change in slow feature outputs, for learning policies. These three pieces enabled an iCub humanoid robot to learn skills with high-dimensional video input. The iCub learns both a a “Topple” and “Grasp” skill (with respect to a cup) without any prior knowledge of its environment and itself, except for a set of poses used as a roadmap for motion planning. In future work, we plan to build a continual learning system that autonomously re-uses previously learned skills to learn more complex skills, making it an open-ended skill-learning system.
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