	0022-5/FLA AID:97 Vol.• Prn:29/03/2005; 11:43	••(•••) jda97	[DTD5] P.1(1-6) by:R.M. p. 1
ELSEVIER	Available online at ww science	DIRECT.	JOURNAL OF DISCRETE ALGORITHMS
			www.elsevier.com/locate/jda
А	note on the con	nplexity of m	inimum
	domin	nating set	
	Fabrizio	o Grandoni	
Max-Plan	ack-Institut für Informatik, Stuhls	atzenhausweg 85, 66123 Sa	aarbrücken, Germany
The currently (a is the trivial $\Omega(2^n)$ present a simple a	symptotically) fastest algorit) algorithm which enumerates lgorithm which solves this pr by Elsevier B.V.	s and checks all the subse	ets of nodes. In this paper we
The currently (a is the trivial $\Omega(2^n)$ present a simple a \odot 2005 Published) algorithm which enumerates Igorithm which solves this pr	s and checks all the subsecoblem in $O(1.81^n)$ time.	ets of nodes. In this paper we
The currently (a is the trivial $\Omega(2^n)$ present a simple a \odot 2005 Published) algorithm which enumerates Igorithm which solves this pr by Elsevier B.V.	s and checks all the subsecoblem in $O(1.81^n)$ time.	ets of nodes. In this paper we
is the trivial $\Omega(2^n)$ present a simple a © 2005 Published) algorithm which enumerates lgorithm which solves this pr by Elsevier B.V. ng set; Set cover; Exact algorithm	s and checks all the subsecoblem in $O(1.81^n)$ time.	ets of nodes. In this paper we
The currently (a is the trivial Ω (2 ⁿ) present a simple al © 2005 Published <i>Keywords:</i> Dominati 1. Introduction Since the sem to develop faste complete proble: (<i>maximum</i>) satis	algorithm which enumerates logrithm which solves this pr by Elsevier B.V. ng set; Set cover; Exact algorithm ninal work of Tarjan and Ta ar and faster (exponential ms, such as <i>maximum inde</i> <i>fiability</i> [2,7,11,13], 3-col	s and checks all the subse oblem in O(1.81 ⁿ) time. ms rojanowski [16], a lot of -time) exact algorithm ependent set [3,10,14,1 oring [4,8] and many of	of effort has been devoted ns for NP-hard and NP- 15], <i>vertex cover</i> [1,5,12], others.
The currently (a is the trivial Ω (2 ⁿ) present a simple at © 2005 Published <i>Keywords:</i> Dominati 1. Introduction Since the sem to develop faste complete problem (<i>maximum</i>) satis Minimum dor (asymptotically)	algorithm which enumerates logorithm which solves this pr by Elsevier B.V. ng set; Set cover; Exact algorithm and work of Tarjan and Tar and faster (exponential ms, such as <i>maximum inde</i> <i>fiability</i> [2,7,11,13], 3-col <i>minating set</i> is one of the r fastest algorithm to solve	s and checks all the subse oblem in O(1.81 ⁿ) time. ms rojanowski [16], a lot of -time) exact algorithm ependent set [3,10,14, oring [4,8] and many of nost basic NP-hard pr this problem on graph	of effort has been devoted ns for NP-hard and NP- 15], <i>vertex cover</i> [1,5,12], others. oblems [9]. The currently hs of <i>n</i> nodes is the trivial
The currently (a is the trivial $\Omega(2^n)$ present a simple a © 2005 Published <i>Keywords:</i> Dominati 1. Introduction Since the sem to develop faste complete problet (<i>maximum</i>) satis, <i>Minimum dor</i> (asymptotically) $\Omega(2^n)$ algorithm In this paper <i>dimension</i> of the	algorithm which enumerates logorithm which solves this probe by Elsevier B.V. Ing set; Set cover; Exact algorithm and a set is cover; Exact algorithm and faster (exponential ms, such as <i>maximum inde</i> <i>fiability</i> [2,7,11,13], 3-coll <i>minating set</i> is one of the r fastest algorithm to solve a which enumerates and ch we present a O(1.3424 ^k) e problem, is the sum of t	s and checks all the subse oblem in O(1.81 ⁿ) time. ms rojanowski [16], a lot o -time) exact algorithm ependent set [3,10,14, oring [4,8] and many o nost basic NP-hard pr this problem on graph necks all the subsets of algorithm for <i>minimu</i> he number of sets ava	of effort has been devoted ns for NP-hard and NP- 15], <i>vertex cover</i> [1,5,12], others. oblems [9]. The currently hs of <i>n</i> nodes is the trivial nodes. <i>m set cover</i> , where <i>k</i> , the ailable and of the number
The currently (a is the trivial $\Omega(2^n)$ present a simple a © 2005 Published <i>Keywords:</i> Dominati 1. Introduction Since the sem to develop faste complete problet (<i>maximum</i>) satis, <i>Minimum dor</i> (asymptotically) $\Omega(2^n)$ algorithm In this paper <i>dimension</i> of the	algorithm which enumerates logorithm which solves this proby Elsevier B.V. and set: Set cover; Exact algorithm and and faster (exponential ms, such as <i>maximum inde</i> <i>fiability</i> [2,7,11,13], 3-col <i>minating set</i> is one of the r fastest algorithm to solve a which enumerates and ch we present a O(1.3424 ^k)	s and checks all the subse oblem in O(1.81 ⁿ) time. ms rojanowski [16], a lot o -time) exact algorithm ependent set [3,10,14, oring [4,8] and many o nost basic NP-hard pr this problem on graph necks all the subsets of algorithm for <i>minimu</i> he number of sets ava	of effort has been devoted ns for NP-hard and NP- 15], <i>vertex cover</i> [1,5,12], others. oblems [9]. The currently hs of <i>n</i> nodes is the trivial nodes. <i>m set cover</i> , where <i>k</i> , the ailable and of the number
The currently (a is the trivial $\Omega(2^n)$ present a simple al © 2005 Published <i>Keywords:</i> Dominati 1. Introduction Since the sem to develop faste complete probles (<i>maximum</i>) satis <i>Minimum dor</i> (asymptotically) $\Omega(2^n)$ algorithm In this paper <i>dimension</i> of the of elements whi	algorithm which enumerates logorithm which solves this probe by Elsevier B.V. Ing set; Set cover; Exact algorithm and a set is cover; Exact algorithm and faster (exponential ms, such as <i>maximum inde</i> <i>fiability</i> [2,7,11,13], 3-coll <i>minating set</i> is one of the r fastest algorithm to solve a which enumerates and ch we present a O(1.3424 ^k) e problem, is the sum of t	s and checks all the subse oblem in O(1.81 ⁿ) time. ms rojanowski [16], a lot of -time) exact algorithm ependent set [3,10,14,1 oring [4,8] and many of nost basic NP-hard pr this problem on graph tecks all the subsets of algorithm for <i>minimu</i> he number of sets ava inimum dominating set	of effort has been devoted ns for NP-hard and NP- 15], <i>vertex cover</i> [1,5,12], others. oblems [9]. The currently hs of <i>n</i> nodes is the trivial nodes. <i>m set cover</i> , where <i>k</i> , the ailable and of the number

ida97

S1570-8667(05)00022-5/FLA AID:97 Vol. •••(•••) JDA:m1a v 1.36 Prn:29/03/2005; 11:43

[DTD5] P.2(1-6) by:R.M. p. 2

F. Grandoni / Journal of Discrete Algorithms ••• (••••) •••-•••

1.1. Preliminaries

We use standard set notation. Let S be a collection of subsets of a given *universe* U. For the sake of simplicity, we assume that S covers U:

$$\mathcal{U} = \mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{S}} = \bigcup_{S \in \mathcal{S}} S.$$

A set cover of S is a subset S' of S which covers U. The minimum set cover problem consists in determining the minimum cardinality msc(S) of a set cover of S. Without loss of generality, we can assume that S does not contain the empty set (since it does not belong to any minimum set cover). We call *dimension* k of S the sum of the cardinalities of S and U:

$k = |\mathcal{S}| + |\mathcal{U}|.$

Let R be a subset of U. By del(S, R) we denote the collection which is obtained from S by removing the elements of R from each S in S, and by eventually removing the empty sets obtained:

$$del(\mathcal{S}, R) = \{ S' \neq \emptyset : S' = S \setminus R, S \in \mathcal{S} \}.$$

We use standard graph notation as for example in [6]. In particular, by G = (V, E) we denote a (undirected) graph, where V is the set of *nodes* and E is the set of *edges* (pairs of distinct nodes). Two nodes u and v are *adjacent* if there is an edge $\{u, v\} \in E$. The neighborhood N(v) of node v is the set of nodes adjacent to v. A dominating set of G is a subset V' of V such that every node $u \in V \setminus V'$ is adjacent to at least one node $v \in V'$, i.e.:

 $V \backslash V' \subseteq \bigcup_{v \in V'} N(v).$

The *minimum dominating set problem* consists in determining the minimum cardinality of a dominating set of G. Minimum dominating set can be naturally formulated as a minimum set cover problem, in which there is a set $N(v) \cup \{v\}$ for each node $v \in V$ (the set of nodes *dominated* by v). Note that the dimension of the minimum set cover formulation of minimum dominating set is k = 2n, where n is the number of nodes of G (there is one set for each node and the set of elements which need to be covered is the set of nodes).

2. A polynomial-space algorithm

In this section we describe a $O(1.3803^k)$ polynomial-space recursive algorithm MSC for minimum set cover, where k is the *dimension* of the problem. It immediately follows that minimum dominating set can be solved in $O(1.3803^{2n}) = O(1.9053^n)$ time.

The algorithm is based on the following simple properties of set covers.

ARTICLE IN PRESS

	S1570-8667(05)00022-5/FLA AID:97 Vol.•••(•••) jda97 [DTD5] P.3(1-6) by:R.M. p. 3	
	F. Grandoni / Journal of Discrete Algorithms ••• (••••) •••-••• 3	
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	1 int $MSC(S)$ { 2 if($ S = 0$) return 0; 3 if($\exists S, R \in S: S \subset R$) return $MSC(S \setminus \{S\})$; 4 if($\exists s \in U_S \exists$ a unique $S \in S: s \in S$) return 1 + $MSC(del(S, S))$; 5 take $S \in S$ of maximum cardinality; 6 return min{ $MSC(S \setminus \{S\}), 1 + MSC(del(S, S))$ }; 7 }	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8
9 10	Fig. 1. Recursive algorithm for minimum set cover.	9 10
11 12	Lemma 1. Let S be an instance of minimum set cover. The following properties hold:	11 12
13 14 15	(1) If there is a set S in S which is (properly) included in another set R in S ($S \subset R$), then there is a minimum set cover which does not contain S. In particular:	13 14 15
16	$msc(\mathcal{S}) = msc(\mathcal{S} \setminus \{S\}).$	16
17 18 19	(2) If there is an element s of \mathcal{U} which belongs to a unique $S \in S$, then S belongs to every set cover. In particular:	17 18 19
20	$msc(\mathcal{S}) = 1 + msc(del(\mathcal{S}, S)).$	20
21 22	(3) For all the remaining $S \in S$, the following holds:	21 22
23 24	$msc(\mathcal{S}) = \min\{msc(\mathcal{S} \setminus \{S\}), 1 + msc(del(\mathcal{S}, S))\}.$	23 24
24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33	Note that the sets of cardinality one satisfy exactly one of the properties (1) and (2) of Lemma 1. A basic version of the algorithm is described in Fig. 1. The base case (line 2) is when $ S = 0$. In that case, $msc(S) = 0$. Otherwise (lines 3 and 4), the algorithm tries to reduce the dimension of the problem without branching, by applying one of the properties (1) and (2) of Lemma 1. If none of the two properties above applies, the algorithm simply takes (line 5) a set $S \in S$ of maximum cardinality and branches (line 6) according to property (3) of Lemma 1.	24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33
34 35 36	Theorem 1. Algorithm MSC solves minimum set cover in time $O(1.3803^k)$, where k is the dimension of the problem.	34 35 36
 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 	Proof. The correctness of the algorithm is a straightforward consequence of Lemma 1. Let $N_h(k)$ denote the number of subproblems of dimension h solved by the algorithm to solve a problem of dimension k . Clearly, $N_h(k) = 0$ for $h > k$ (the subproblems are of dimension lower than the dimension of the original problem). Moreover, $N_k(k) = 1$ (considering the original problem as one of the subproblems). Consider the case $h < k$ (which implies $ S \neq 0$). If one of the conditions of lines 3 and 4 is satisfied, the algorithm generates a unique subproblem of dimension at most $k - 1$. Thus: $N_h(k) \leq N_h(k-1)$.	 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45

S1570-8667(05)00022-5/FLA AID:97 Vol. •••(•••) ida97 JDA:m1a v 1.36 Prn:29/03/2005; 11:43

[DTD5] P.4(1-6) by:R.M. p. 4

F. Grandoni / Journal of Discrete Algorithms ••• (••••) •••-•••

Otherwise, the algorithm takes a set S of maximum cardinality $(|S| \ge 2)$, and it branches on the two subproblems $S_1 = S \setminus \{S\}$ and $S_2 = del(S, S)$. The dimension of S_1 is k - 1 (one set removed from S). If $|S| \ge 3$, the dimension of S₂ is at most k - 4 (one set removed from S and at least three elements removed from U). Thus:

$$N_h(k) \leqslant N_h(k-1) + N_h(k-4)$$

Otherwise (all the sets in S have cardinality two), the dimension of S_2 is k-3 (one set removed from S and two elements removed from U). Moreover, S_2 must contain a set S' of cardinality one. Then the algorithm has to execute one of the lines 3 and 4 to solve the subproblem S_2 . Thus:

$$N_h(k) \leq N_h(k-1) + N_h(k-3-1) = N_h(k-1) + N_h(k-4).$$

A valid upper bound on $N_h(k)$ is $N_h(k) \le c^{k-h}$, where c = 1.3802... < 1.3803 is the (unique) positive root of the polynomial $(x^4 - x^3 - 1)$. This implies that the total number N(k) of subproblems solved is:

$$N(k) = \sum_{h=0}^{k} N_h(k) \leqslant \sum_{h=0}^{k} c^{k-h} = O(c^k).$$

The cost of solving a problem of dimension $h \leq k$, excluding the cost of solving the cor-responding subproblems (if any), is upper bounded by a polynomial p(k) of k. It follows that the time complexity of the algorithm is $O(c^k p(k)) = O(1.3803^k)$.

Corollary 1. There is an algorithm which solves minimum dominating set in $O(1.9053^n)$ time, where n is the number of nodes in the graph.

In line 5 of MSC a set of maximum cardinality is taken. More sophisticated selection criteria may lead to improved time bounds.

3. An exponential-space algorithm

In this section we show how to reduce the time complexity of MSC via dynamic pro-gramming, at the cost of an exponential space complexity.

The technique is similar to the one used by Robson in the context of maximum indepen-dent set [14]. While solving a problem \mathcal{S} , the same subproblem \mathcal{S}' can appear many times. The idea is then to store the solutions of all the subproblems solved in a database. When-ever a new subproblem is generated, this database is checked to see whether the solution of that subproblem is already available. This way, one ensures that a given subproblem is solved at most once. The database can be implemented in such a way that the query time is logarithmic in the number of solutions stored.

Theorem 2. Algorithm MSC, modified as above, solves minimum set cover in time $O(1.3424^k)$, where k is the dimension of the problem.

ARTICLE IN PRESS

ida97

S1570-8667(05)00022-5/FLA AID:97 Vol. •••(•••) JDA:m1a v 1.36 Prn:29/03/2005; 11:43 [DTD5] P.5(1-6) by:R.M. p. 5

F. Grandoni / Journal of Discrete Algorithms ••• (••••) •••-•••

Proof. The correctness of the algorithm follows from Lemma 1.

Let $N_h(k)$ denote the number of subproblems of dimension $h \in \{0, 1, ..., k\}$ solved by the algorithm to solve a problem of dimension k. From the proof of Theorem 1, $N_h(k) \leq c^{k-h}$, where c = 1.3802... < 1.3803 is the positive root of the polynomial $(x^4 - x^3 - 1)$. Each subproblem S' is obtained by removing some sets from S and some elements from U. In other words:

 $\mathcal{S}' = del(\mathcal{S} \setminus \mathcal{S}^*, \mathcal{U}^*),$

for some $S^* \subseteq S$ and $U^* \subseteq U$. This implies that $N_h(k) \leq \binom{k}{h}$. Let h' be the largest integer in $\{0, 1, \ldots, \lfloor k/2 \rfloor\}$ such that $\binom{k}{h'} < c^{k-h'}$. The total number N(k) of subproblems solved is:

$$N(k) = \sum_{h=0}^{k} N_h(k) \leqslant \sum_{h=0}^{h'} \binom{k}{h} + \sum_{h=h'+1}^{k} c^{k-h} = \mathcal{O}(c^{k-h'}).$$

It follows from Stirling's approximation that N(k) is $O(c^{(1-\alpha)k})$, where α satisfies:

$$c^{1-\alpha} = \frac{1}{\alpha^{\alpha}(1-\alpha)^{1-\alpha}}$$

The cost of each query to the database is polynomial in k. Thus the cost of solving a problem of dimension $h \le k$, excluding the cost of solving the corresponding subproblems (if any), is upper bounded by a polynomial p(k) of k. It follows that the time complexity of the algorithm is $O(c^{(1-\alpha)k}p(k)) = O(1.3424^k)$. \Box

Corollary 2. There is an algorithm which solves minimum dominating set in $O(1.8021^n)$ time, where n is the number of nodes in the graph.

30 References

- R. Balasubramanian, M. Fellows, V. Raman, An improved fixed-parameter algorithm for vertex cover, Inform. Process. Lett. 65 (1998) 163–168.
 D. Barach, V. Parameter, J. Parameter, and for MarSett for the improved in Laterational Source states.
- [2] N. Bansal, V. Raman, Upper bounds for MaxSat: further improved, in: International Symposium on Algorithms and Computation (ISAAC), 1999.
- [3] R. Beigel, Finding maximum independent sets in sparse and general graphs, in: ACM-SIAM Symposium on
 Discrete Algorithms (SODA), 1999, pp. 856–857.
- [4] R. Beigel, D. Eppstein, 3-coloring in time O(1.3446ⁿ): a no-MIS algorithm, in: IEEE Symposium on Foundations of Computer Science (FOCS), 1995, pp. 444–452.
- ³⁸ [5] J. Chen, I. Kanj, W. Jia, Vertex cover: further observations and further improvements, J. Algorithms 41 (2001) 280–301.
 ³⁸ (2001) 280–301.
- 40 [6] T.H. Cormen, C.E. Leiserson, R.L. Rivest, Introduction to Algorithms, MIT Press/McGraw-Hill, Cambridge,
 41 MA/New York, 1990.
 41
- [7] E. Dantsin, A. Goerdt, E.A. Hirsch, R. Kannan, J. Kleinberg, C. Papadimitriou, P. Raghavan, U. Schöning, A deterministic (2 - 2/(k + 1))ⁿ algorithm for k-SAT based on local search, Theoret. Comput. Sci. 289 (1)
 (2002) 69–83.
 (2) D. Farting Language for 2 solutions 2 solutions 2 solutions and constraint extinfaction in ACM.
- [8] D. Eppstein, Improved algorithms for 3-coloring, 3-edge-coloring, and constraint satisfaction, in: ACM SIAM Symposium on Discrete Algorithms (SODA), 2001, pp. 329–337.

ARTICLE IN PRESS

[DTD5] P.6(1-6)

	S1570-8667(05)00022-5/FLA AID:97 Vol.•••(•••) jda97 [DTD5] P.6(1-6) JDA:m1a v 1.36 Prn:29/03/2005; 11:43 by:R.M. p. 6
	6 F. Grandoni / Journal of Discrete Algorithms ••• (••••) •••-•••
1	[9] M.R. Garey, D.S. Johnson, Computers and Intractability. A Guide to the Theory of NP-Completeness, Free-
2 3	mann, New York, 1979. [10] T. Jian, An $O(2^{0.304n})$ algorithm for solving maximum independent set problem, IEEE Trans. Com-
4	put. 35 (9) (1986) 847–851. [11] R. Niedermeier, P. Rossmanith, New upper bounds for maximum satisfiability, J. Algorithms 36 (1) (2000)
5 6	63–88. [12] R. Niedermeier, P. Rossmanith, On efficient fixed-parameter algorithms for weighted vertex cover, J. Algo-
7	rithms 47 (2) (2003) 63–77.
8 9	[13] R. Paturi, P. Pudlak, M.E. Saks, F. Zane, An improved exponential-time algorithm for k-SAT, in: IEEE Symposium on Foundations of Computer Science (FOCS), 1998, pp. 628–637.
0	[14] J.M. Robson, Algorithms for maximum independent sets, J. Algorithms 7 (3) (1986) 425–440. [15] J.M. Robson, Finding a maximum independent set in time $O(2^{n/4})$, Technical Report 1251-01, LaBRI,
1 2	Université Bordeaux I, 2001. [16] R. Tarjan, A. Trojanowski, Finding a maximum independent set, SIAM J. Comput. 6 (3) (1977) 537–546.
3	
4	
5 6	
7	
8	
9	
20 21	
22	4
23	
24	
25	
26 27	
8	
9	
0	
1	
2	
3	
4 5	
6	
57	
8	
9	
10	
1	
2	
3	
4	
5	